

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.najvo.gev.

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/091,544 03/07/2002 Kazutaka Shibata ROH-049 9071 07/16/2003 23353 7590 RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC EXAMINER LION BUILDING THAI, LUAN C 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2827

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|-------------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | 10/091,544 | SHIBATA, KAZUTAKA |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Luan Thai | 2827 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 i | <u>March 2003</u> . | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th | is action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 6-8</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5</u> is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 March 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal F | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is responsive to the amendment filed 3/31/03.

Claims 1-7 and newly added claim 8 are pending in this application.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the limitations "a substrate thickness of the substrate and a wrap preventing sheet thickness of the wrap preventing sheet are different from each other" in newly added claim 8 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification, as originally filed, does not disclose that "the substrate and the wrap preventing sheet are fabricated from different materials and a substrate thickness of the substrate and a wrap preventing sheet thickness of the wrap preventing sheet are different from each other" as recited in newly added claim 8. (See also Applicant's Abstract).

Art Unit: 2827

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(c) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

5. Claims 1 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Senba et al (6,188,127 of record).

The figures and reference numbers referred to in this office action are used merely to indicate an example of a specific teaching and are not to be taken as limiting.

Regarding claims 1 and 6-7, Senba et al. disclose (see specifically figures 9B-9C) a semiconductor device of a chip-size package type comprising: a substrate (2); a semiconductor chip (1) having one surface bonded to a surface of the substrate (2) via bumps (4); a conductive layer (9) bonded to and entirely covering the other surface of the semiconductor chip (1), wherein end surfaces of the conductive layer (9) are flush with corresponding end surfaces of the semiconductor chip (1). Since the conductive layer (9) is bonded on the top (non-active surface) of the semiconductor chip (1), it would inherently have a characteristic of warp preventing for the semiconductor chip. Also, it appears from Senba et al.'s figures 9B-9C that the thickness of the conductive layer (9) and the thickness of a portion of the substrate (2) where the chip (1) is mounted on are substantially equal.

Art Unit: 2827

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art taken either singly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest: a) the warp preventing sheet having a coefficient of elasticity substantially equal to that of the substrate, as recited in claim 2; b) the warp preventing sheet having a coefficient of thermal expansion substantially equal to that of the substrate, as recited in claim 3; c) a base of the warp preventing sheet being made of material identical with that of a base of the substrate, as recited in claim 4; and d) a base of each of the substrate and the warp preventing sheet being a polyimide resin, as recited in claim 5; especially when these limitations are considered within the specific combination claimed.

Conclusion

- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been fully considered, but they are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action because the underlined portions of claim 1 and newly added claim 8 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2827

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan Thai whose telephone number is (703) 308-1211. The

examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on (703) 305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Luan Thai

July 14, 2003

DAVID L. TALBOTT

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800